# Assessment Schedule - 2021

# Classical Studies: Analyse the significance of a work(s) of art in the classical world (91395)

#### **Assessment Criteria**

| Achievement   | Achievement with Merit  | Achievement with Excellence  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Analyse the significance involves:  undertaking a methodical examination and interpretation of the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art in their artistic and/or historical context                               | Analyse, in depth, the significance involves providing an informed and coherent discussion of the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art. Features of an informed and coherent discussion include:                          | Analyse, with perception, the significance involves discussing with insight the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art. Features of a perceptive discussion include:   |  |  |
| discussing the work(s) of art in light of that examination and interpretation   | integrating ideas   | giving evidence of artistic influence  |  |  |
|   | making comparisons  | providing critical evaluation  |  |  |
| <ul><li>using primary-source evidence</li><li>drawing conclusions.</li></ul>  | <ul> <li>drawing conclusions that are supported by primary-<br/>source evidence.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>showing understanding of wider implications of the analysis</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| drawing constants.  |   | drawing developed conclusions.   |  |  |
| The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art, by discussing them in their artistic / historical context.   | The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art <b>in depth</b> , by discussing them in an <b>informed</b> and <b>coherent</b> way in their artistic/historical context.                                    | The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art with <b>perception</b> , by discussing them with <b>insight</b> in their artistic / historical context.  |  |  |
| The candidate shows general understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws general conclusions. | The candidate shows in-depth understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws well-supported conclusions. | The candidate shows <b>perceptive</b> understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws <b>developed</b> conclusions. |  |  |
| The candidate's response <b>may lack specific detail</b> , and aspects of the question may be underdeveloped or omitted.  | The candidate's response covers <b>most aspects</b> of the question, but the treatment may be unbalanced.   | The candidate's response covers <b>all aspects</b> of the question in appropriate depth.   |  |  |

# **Cut Scores**

| Not Achieved Achievement |       | Achievement with Merit | Achievement with Excellence |  |
|--------------------------|-------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 0 – 2                    | 3 – 4 | 5 – 6                  | 7 – 8                       |  |

# **Evidence**

| N1   | N2   | А3  | A4  | M5   | M6  | E7  | E8   |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Examines the significance of features of an art work(s), but describes, rather than interprets.                                | Examines the significance of features of an art work(s), but the interpretation is basic and shows limited understanding of effect.  | Examines and interprets the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect; not all important points are properly developed.                         | Examines and interprets the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect; the discussion is methodical, but lacks depth.   | Analyses the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect, providing a range of specific detail and making comparisons and / or contrasts, as appropriate. Discussion is well-informed and coherent, but some is too narrowly focused | Analyses the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect in depth, providing a range of specific detail and making comparisons and/or contrasts as appropriate. Discussion is well-informed, coherent, and broadly focused. | Evaluates the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect with insight, providing a range of specific detail. Discussion is perceptive and shows awareness of artistic influence and / or innovation, as appropriate.   | Evaluates the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect with insight and originality, providing a range of specific detail. Discussion is perceptive and explicitly describes artistic influence and/or innovation, as appropriate.  |
| Makes minimal reference to the selected work(s).   | Makes some references to the selected work(s), but not all are pertinent.  | Makes some relevant references to the selected work(s).   | Makes references to the selected work(s) that support general comment, but not consistently.  | Makes references to the selected work(s) that support general comment.   | References are woven into the discussion.   | References show critical discernment, reinforce general comment, and are woven into the discussion.   | Wide-ranging references<br>show critical discernment,<br>reinforce general<br>comment, and are woven<br>into the discussion.   |
| Demonstrates a little understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context. | Demonstrates some understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context, but the links between the work(s) and their artistic / historical context are implied, rather than explicitly stated. | Demonstrates basic understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context, but there is some oversimplification. | Demonstrates sound understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context, but there is little indication of in-depth knowledge. | Demonstrates informed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context.   | Demonstrates informed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context; discussion is thorough and logically developed.  | Demonstrates a highly developed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context; discussion is balanced, focused, and convincingly supported by specific examples; links to historical events and/or artistic developments clarify the wider implications of the work(s). | Demonstrates a highly developed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context; discussion is sophisticated and reveals an ability to synthesise information; insightful links to historical events and/or artistic developments clarify the wider implications of the work(s); modern art historical criticism may be cited, as appropriate. |
|  | Draws underdeveloped conclusions.  | Draws general conclusions.  | Draws general conclusions, <b>some</b> of which are supported by <b>specific examples</b> .   | Draws general conclusions, most of which are supported by specific examples, and makes appropriate comparisons.  | Draws general conclusions that are consistently supported by specific examples, and makes appropriate comparisons.  | Draws developed conclusions that are supported by specific, well-chosen examples.   | Draws developed conclusions that are skilfully supported by specific, well-chosen examples.  |

**N0** = No response; no relevant evidence.