# Assessment Schedule - 2023

# Classical Studies: Analyse the significance of a work(s) of art in the classical world (91395)

### **Assessment Criteria**

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
<ul> <li>Analyse the significance involves:</li> <li>undertaking a methodical examination and interpretation of the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art in their artistic and/or historical context</li> <li>discussing the work(s) of art in light of that</li> </ul>	Analyse, in depth, the significance involves providing an informed and coherent discussion of the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art. Features of an informed and coherent discussion include:  • integrating ideas	Analyse, with perception, the significance involves discussing with insight the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art. Features of a perceptive discussion include:  • giving evidence of artistic influence
<ul><li>examination and interpretation</li><li>using primary-source evidence</li><li>drawing conclusions.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>making comparisons</li> <li>drawing conclusions that are supported by primary-source evidence.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>providing critical evaluation</li> <li>showing understanding of wider implications of the analysis</li> <li>drawing developed conclusions.</li> </ul>
The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art, by discussing them in their artistic/historical context.	The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art <b>in depth</b> , by discussing them in an <b>informed</b> and <b>coherent</b> way in their artistic/historical context.	The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art with <b>perception</b> , by discussing them with <b>insight</b> in their artistic/historical context.
The candidate shows general understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws general conclusions.	The candidate shows in-depth understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws well-supported conclusions.	The candidate shows <b>perceptive</b> understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws <b>developed</b> conclusions.
The candidate's response <b>may lack specific detail</b> , and aspects of the question may be underdeveloped or omitted.	The candidate's response covers <b>most aspects</b> of the question, but the treatment may be unbalanced.	The candidate's response covers <b>all aspects</b> of the question in appropriate depth.

# **Cut Scores**

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0 – 2	3 – 4	5 – 6	7 – 8	

# **Evidence**

N1	N2	А3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8
Examines the significance of features of an art work(s), but describes, rather than interprets.	Examines the significance of features of an art work(s), but the interpretation is basic and shows limited understanding of effect.	Examines and interprets the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect; not all important points are properly developed.	Examines and interprets the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect; the discussion is methodical, but lacks depth.	Analyses the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect, providing a range of specific detail and making comparisons and/or contrasts, as appropriate. Discussion is well-informed and coherent, but some is too narrowly focused.	Analyses the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect in depth, providing a range of specific detail and making comparisons and/or contrasts as appropriate. Discussion is well-informed, coherent, and broadly focused.	Evaluates the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect with insight, providing a range of specific detail. Discussion is perceptive and shows awareness of artistic influence and / or innovation, as appropriate.	Evaluates the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect with insight and originality, providing a range of specific detail. Discussion is perceptive and explicitly describes artistic influence and/or innovation, as appropriate.
Makes minimal reference to the selected work(s).	Makes some references to the selected work(s), but not all are pertinent.	Makes some relevant references to the selected work(s).	Makes references to the selected work(s) that support general comment, but not consistently.	Makes references to the selected work(s) that support general comment.	References are woven into the discussion.	References show critical discernment, reinforce general comment, and are woven into the discussion.	Wide-ranging references show critical discernment, reinforce general comment, and are woven into the discussion.
Demonstrates a little understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context.	Demonstrates some understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context, but the links between the work(s) and their artistic/historical context are implied, rather than explicitly stated.	Demonstrates basic understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context, but there is some oversimplification.	Demonstrates sound understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context, but there is little indication of in-depth knowledge.	Demonstrates informed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context.	Demonstrates informed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context; discussion is thorough and logically developed.	Demonstrates a highly developed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context; discussion is balanced, focused, and convincingly supported by specific examples; links to historical events and / or artistic developments clarify the wider implications of the work(s).	Demonstrates a highly developed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic/historical context; discussion is sophisticated and reveals an ability to synthesise information; insightful links to historical events and/or artistic developments clarify the wider implications of the work(s); modern art historical criticism may be cited, as appropriate.
	Draws underdeveloped conclusions.	Draws general conclusions.	Draws general conclusions, <b>some</b> of which are supported by <b>specific examples</b> .	Draws general conclusions, most of which are supported by specific examples, and makes appropriate comparisons.	Draws general conclusions that are consistently supported by specific examples, and makes appropriate comparisons.	Draws developed conclusions that are supported by specific, well-chosen examples.	Draws developed conclusions that are <b>skilfully</b> supported by specific, well-chosen examples.

**NØ** = No response; no relevant evidence.