Pilot Assessment Schedule – 2023

English: Develop ideas in writing using stylistic and written conventions (91926)

Assessment Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Developing ideas in writing using stylistic and written conventions involves the candidate creating a piece of writing in response to a stimulus topic.	Developing ideas in writing using stylistic and written conventions convincingly, involves the candidate creating a piece of writing in response to a stimulus topic that is crafted and edited to communicate ideas.	Developing ideas in writing using stylistic and written conventions effectively involves the candidate creating a piece of writing in response to a stimulus topic that is well-crafted and edited to communicate ideas.
The writing will be structured to build on a single idea through the addition of some relevant detail and examples.	The writing will have a coherent structure in which ideas are connected and developed to produce meaning.	The writing will have a coherent and effective structure in which ideas are developed insightfully to command attention and produce meaning that is clear.
The writing will show a selection of vocabulary, syntax, and stylistic features that are appropriate to the audience and purpose.	The writing will use specifically selected language features that are used for effect to connect with the audience and purpose.	The writing will integrate these ideas with sustained and effective use of language features to create a clear personal voice that connects with the audience and purpose.
The writing will use written text conventions without intrusive error patterns.	The writing will use written text conventions accurately so that the writing contains only minor errors.	The writing will use written text conventions accurately and precisely so that the writing contains only minor errors.

Evidence

N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8
The response attempts to state a relevant idea that acknowledges one of the stimulus topics.	 EITHER: attempts to state a relevant idea that acknowledges one of the stimulus topics AND shows some awareness of the audience and purpose OR the response is at Achievement level but includes intrusive error patterns that impede meaning. 	The response fulfils the intent of the assessment criteria for Achievement, although some parts may be partial or weak .	The response securely fulfils the intent of the assessment criteria for Achievement.	The response fulfils the intent of the assessment criteria for Achievement with Merit, although some parts may be partial or weak.	The response securely fulfils the intent of the assessment criteria for Achievement with Merit.	The response fulfils the intent of the assessment criteria for Achievement with Excellence, although some parts may be partial or weak.	The response securely fulfils the intent of the assessment criteria for Achievement with Excellence.

NØ = No response; no relevant evidence.

Cut Scores

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
0-2	3 – 4	5-6	7 – 8

Appendix: Marker determination of validity of evidence

Professional judgement

The marker will determine a grade using their professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided.

Demonstration of understanding

A response must use information to **demonstrate understanding**. The marker must exercise professional judgement to decide if it does so. The following guidance is provided to assist in making this professional judgement.

- A response demonstrates understanding if it can be described wholly or substantially by one or more of the statements in the left-hand column.
- A response does not demonstrate understanding if it can be described wholly or substantially by one or more of the statements in the right-hand column.
- If a response is comprised of both used and reproduced information, the marker must decide if it meets the standard when the reproduced information is ignored.

Evidence of use of information	Evidence of <u>reproduction</u> of information
Prompts and / or questions have been provided and the candidate has responded to these.	Information is presented that does not relate to the prompts.
The response uses information relating to the standard, the prompts, or questions.	
Information from the candidate's practice, performance, research, the practice of others, and or teaching, is related to the candidate's experiences.	Information is presented in isolation from the candidate's experiences.
The response shows understanding that could be expected to come from a course of instruction derived from Level 6 of <i>The New Zealand Curriculum</i> .	Little or nothing is offered to suggest the information is related to a course of instruction at Level 6 of <i>The New Zealand Curriculum</i> .
Information is presented in the candidate's own voice.	Information is not in the candidate's voice. The word choice, sentence structure, sentence length, punctuation etc. are not what a candidate could be expected to produce.
Referenced complex research information unchanged by paraphrase is related to other information in a manner that constructs meaning.	Unreferenced complex information is presented as though it is the candidate's own work.

In general, the marker will exercise the following judgement:

N1	N2
The response does not include enough evidence to show understanding, and / or is substantially reproduced with little mediation by candidate.	The response is substantially produced by the candidate, but demonstrates little understanding. One part of the required response may be completely missing, or several parts may be weak.

Where doubt exists as to whether evidence has been produced, mediated, or used by the candidate, the doubt must be exercised to the benefit of the candidate.