

Assessment Report

Level 2 Sāmoan 2017

Standards [91143](#) [91146](#)

Part B: Report on Standards

91143: Demonstrate understanding of a variety of spoken Sāmoan texts on familiar matters

Candidates who were awarded **Achievement** commonly:

- showed understanding of the ideas and concepts in the passages
- recognised the relevant information in the spoken Sāmoan and responded appropriately
- extracted meaning accurately from the passages
- gave enough relevant answers in either Sāmoan or English to meet the criteria, although answers lacked detail
- attempted to answer the requirements of the questions but did not answer in depth, or had minor inconsistencies in accuracy
- answered those questions that involved recall of information, but not those that required further thought or critical thinking.

Candidates who were assessed as **Not Achieved** commonly:

- showed little or no understanding of the concepts in the passage
- gave information other than that which was required
- showed limited understanding of the relationship between the passages and the questions
- provided inaccurate or 'guessed' responses that contained little or no valid information, or evidence of having understood the meaning of the spoken text.

Candidates who were awarded **Achievement with Merit** commonly:

- showed a strong grasp of the language, ideas, and concepts in the passage
- answered the requirements of the questions in some depth and detail in Sāmoan and / or English
- selected relevant information, ideas, and / or opinions from the texts
- answered questions with clarity.

Candidates who were awarded **Achievement with Excellence** commonly:

- showed a thorough grasp of the ideas and concepts in the passages
- answered the requirements of the questions with depth and thought

- gave logical explanations based on all the information given, well supported by evidence
 - reached conclusions based on all the information given.
-

91146: Demonstrate understanding of a variety of written and/or visual Sāmoan texts on familiar matters

Candidates who were awarded **Achievement** commonly:

- understood the ideas and concepts in the passage
- read the instructions and questions carefully, and gave answers with some detail that demonstrated understanding of the text, but were often without depth.

Candidates who were assessed as **Not Achieved** commonly:

- demonstrated little or no understanding of the concepts in the texts
- gave very brief responses that evidenced minimal understanding of the texts
- did not attempt the question
- copied from the written passages incorrectly
- copied straight from the written passages without demonstrating understanding.

Candidates who were awarded **Achievement with Merit** commonly:

- understood the ideas and concepts in the texts clearly
- generally answered the requirements of the questions in depth, or with examples from the text
- gave explanations supported by evidence from the text
- expanded on information, supported by evidence from the text.

Candidates who were awarded **Achievement with Excellence** commonly:

- demonstrated a thorough grasp of the ideas and concepts in the passages
- demonstrated thoughtfulness and depth in their responses
- gave in-depth or detailed explanations, supported by evidence from the text
- gave logical explanations based on all the information given
- reached conclusions based on all the information given.

[Sāmoan subject page](#)

Previous years' reports

[2016 \(PDF, 0KB\)](#)
