**Assessment Schedule – 2017**

**Scholarship Geography (93401)**

**Evidence**

*Note: Material from beyond the resource booklet MUST be relevant to the question.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Expectations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ONE</strong></td>
<td>Choose what you consider to be the one main consequence on the natural environment, due to technological advancement. Critically evaluate and justify your choice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Criteria**  
Critical evaluation and justification requires the weighing up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.  
To be successful, the candidate needs to evaluate and justify throughout, i.e. the evaluation and justification need to be woven through and not just be dropped in as a conclusion.

| Outstanding Scholarship Performance Descriptor 1 | 8 marks | The candidate critically evaluates and justifies the ONE main consequence that has occurred in the natural environment, due to technological advancement.  
Revolves around a “critical evaluation and justification approach”.  
Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.  
Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated/used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals must be original. These must be referred to.  
Response demonstrates ALL of:  
• sophisticated integration and abstraction  
• perception and insight  
• convincing communication. |

| Outstanding Scholarship Performance Descriptor 1 | 7 marks | Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, but:  
• may be confusing in parts or lack clarity  
or  
• does not revolve around evaluation and justification consistently  
or  
• may not use effective visuals, but these must be referred to. |

| Scholarship Performance Descriptor 2 | 6 marks | The candidate critically evaluates and justifies the ONE main consequence that has occurred in the natural environment, due to technological advancement.  
Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.  
Includes appropriate visuals. Visuals must be original. These must be referred to.  
Response demonstrates ALL of:  
• critical thinking, evaluation and justification  
• integration and application of a range of ideas  
• logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas. |

<p>| Scholarship Performance Descriptor 2 | 5 marks | Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, but may be confusing in parts or lack clarity. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Descriptor 3</th>
<th>4 marks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The candidate critically evaluates and justifies the ONE main consequence that has occurred in the natural environment, due to technological advancement. Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence. Includes relevant visuals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 marks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some critical evaluation and justification of ONE main consequence that has occurred in the natural environment, due to technological advancement. Very little depth. May include visuals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 marks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some evaluation and justification mentioned and explained. May not include visuals, or they may be copied, or not relevant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 mark</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>0 mark</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Question

**TWO**

Has technology come at a cost to the cultural environment? Critically analyse, from a range of perspectives.

### General Criteria

**Critical analysis** requires the weighing up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.

To be successful, the candidate needs to include critical analysis throughout, i.e. the analysis needs to be woven through and not just be dropped in as a conclusion. There is a wide range of possible responses.

### Outstanding Scholarship Performance Descriptor 1

- **8 marks**
  - The candidate critically analyses, with reference to a wide range of perspectives, whether technology has come at a cost to the cultural environment.
  - Clearly identifies a range of different perspectives, by providing specific examples and integrating them.
  - Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.
  - Includes appropriate and effective visuals communicated/used in a sophisticated way by integrating the information. Visuals must be original. These must be referred to.
  - Response demonstrates ALL of:
    - sophisticated integration and abstraction
    - perception and insight
    - convincing communication.

### Scholarship Performance Descriptor 2

- **6 marks**
  - The candidate critically analyses, with reference a range of different perspectives, whether technology has come at a cost to the cultural environment.
  - Clearly identifies some perspectives.
  - Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.
  - Includes appropriate visuals. Visuals must be original.
  - Response demonstrates ALL of:
    - integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives (from provided materials or case study)
    - logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.

### Performance Descriptor 3

- **4 marks**
  - The candidate critically analyses, with reference to a range of different perspectives, whether technology has come at a cost to the cultural environment.
  - Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.
  - Includes relevant visuals.

- **5 marks**
  - Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, **but**:
    - may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity
    - or

- **7 marks**
  - Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, **but**:
    - may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity
    - or
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marks</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 marks</td>
<td>Critically analyses whether technology has come at a cost to the cultural environment. Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence. May include visuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 marks</td>
<td>Some critical analysis of whether technology has come at a cost to the cultural environment. May not include visuals, or they may be copied, or not relevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mark</td>
<td>Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 mark</td>
<td>Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THREE</td>
<td>Discuss the importance of technological innovations towards ensuring a sustainable future for local and global citizens.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| General Criteria | Discussion requires the weighing up of evidence, assessing validity, and making informed judgements.  
|              | The candidate discusses the future of migration, by presenting a range of information supported by specific content that leads to a balanced and well-debated, or argued response.  
|              | There is a wide range of possible responses.                                                                                                   |
| Outstanding Scholarship | 8 marks  
| Performance Descriptor 1 | The candidate discusses the importance of technological innovations towards ensuring a sustainable future for local and global citizens.  
|              | The candidate’s opinion is backed up with evidence, which is clear and well argued.  
|              | Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument. Evidence is drawn both from the resources provided, and from beyond.  
|              | Response demonstrates ALL of:  
|              | • sophisticated integration and abstraction  
|              | • perception and insight  
|              | • convincing communication.                                                                                                                 |
| 7 marks | Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 1 for 8 marks as above, **but:**  
|              | • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity.                                                                                                 |
| Scholarship | 6 marks  
| Performance Descriptor 2 | The candidate discusses the importance of technological innovations towards ensuring a sustainable future for local and global citizens.  
|              | The candidate’s opinion is backed up with evidence.  
|              | Refers to and incorporates specific evidence to back up their argument.  
|              | Response demonstrates ALL of:  
|              | • analysis and critical thinking  
|              | • integration and application of a range of ideas and perspectives  
|              | • logical development, precision, and clarity of ideas.                                                                                       |
| 5 marks | Response demonstrates ALL of the requirements of Descriptor 2 for 6 marks as above, **but:**  
|              | • may be confusing in parts, or lack clarity  
|              | or  
|              | • does not integrate ideas well.                                                                                                               |
| Performance Descriptor 3 | 4 marks  
| The candidate provides some discussion of the importance of technological innovations towards ensuring a sustainable future for local and global citizens.  
|              | Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.                                                                                              |
| 3 marks | Some discussion of the importance of technological innovations towards ensuring a sustainable future for local and global citizens (mainly descriptive).  
|              | Refers to and incorporates some specific evidence.                                                                                             |
| 2 marks | Some discussion of the importance of technological innovations towards ensuring a sustainable future for local and global citizens (descriptive).  
<p>| 1 mark |                                                                                                                                           |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 mark</td>
<td>Nothing attempted, or answer not relevant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Answer largely descriptive, or large parts irrelevant.