

Managing National Assessment Report

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki

Hereturikoka 2018

What this report is about

This report summarises NZQA's review of how effectively Te Wharekura o Ruatoki:

- has addressed issues identified through NZQA's Managing National Assessment review and through the school's own internal review
- manages assessment practice for national qualifications
- manages internal and external moderation
- makes use of and manages assessment-related data
- maintains the currency of assessment policy and procedures, and communicates them to kaiako, students and whānau.

The summary section evaluates the kura's overall effectiveness and provides a broad overview of the review's key findings for all readers. The remaining sections provide detail of these findings for kura managers.

Why we review how kura are managing national assessment

The purpose of a Managing National Assessment review is:

- to confirm that kura are meeting the requirements of the Consent to Assess Against Standards on the Directory of Assessment Standards Rules 2011 (CAAS) and its Guidelines (CAAS Guidelines) in order to maintain their consent to assess, in combination with the most recent Education Review Office report and;
- to help kura achieve valid, fair, accurate and consistent internal assessment according to the requirements of the *Assessment (including Examination) Rules for Schools with Consent to Assess 2017* (Assessment Rules).

What this review includes

The review has three components:

- The annual external moderation of the school's internal assessment.
- A check on specific aspects of assessment systems on an annual basis.
- A check on the kura's assessment systems at least once every four years.

What are possible outcomes

Outcomes may include NZQA:

- requiring action from the kura where an issue is identified that significantly impacts on the kura meeting the requirements of their *Consent to Assess*
- agreeing on action with the kura where an issue has been identified that could become significant if not addressed
- making suggestions for the kura to consider enhancing good assessment practice.

How we conducted this review

The review includes examination of documentation from a range of sources and interviewing key stakeholders.

The review includes examination of documentation from a range of sources and interviewing key stakeholders.

Prior to the visit the kura provided the following documents:

- information on their actions and self-review since the last Managing National Assessment report
- *Te Wharekura o Ruatoki Kaiako Handbook on Assessment Procedures for NCEA*
- *Te Wharekura o Ruatoki Information on NCEA – February 2018*
- a sample of course outlines for Years 11, 12 and 13.

Te Tūmuaki Whakawhanaungatanga kura met with the Tūmuaki, Principal's Nominee, kaiako for English, Pangarau, Te Reo Māori, Te Reo Rangatira, and Tikanga-ā-Iwi.

There was a report-back session with the Tūmuaki and Principal's Nominee at the end of the review visit to highlight good practice and areas for improvement, with suggested strategies, next steps and to agree on any action required.

SUMMARY

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki

22 o ngā rā o Hereturikoka 2018

Consent to assess confirmed

This review found that the kura is meeting the requirements of the *Consent to Assess Against Standards on the Directory of Assessment Standards Rules 2011*. No significant issues with the school's management of national assessment were found. The school's own review mechanisms allow them to identify and respond to issues. Therefore, it is anticipated that the next Managing National Assessment review will be conducted within three years.

What the kura is doing well

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki motto is "Ko tā mātau kitenga anamata, Ko te Tiketike Tūhoetanga", a future-focused vision based on the highest aspirations of Tūhoe. The senior managers at Te Wharekura o Ruatoki use effective review processes to make ongoing improvements to NCEA assessment practice. There has been a concerted effort by the Principal's Nominee and kaiako to monitor the quality of the internal moderation process, which ensures credibility of results reported for NCEA.

Internal moderation procedures are clearly understood and practised consistently by kaiako. These include the critiquing of assessment material and grade verification by suitable subject specialists from within the kura and externally. Student work is stored securely. This allows the kura to fully comply with requests for external moderation and provides kaiako with access to bench marked samples for future decisions.

Accurate data is sent to NZQA in a timely manner. This is evidenced through a low number of late entries and errors. Results are analysed by kaiako and used to report to senior management. The basis for analysis and reflection on the previous year's programme is used to inform future teaching, planning and assessment in the Wharekura.

Effective communication ensures consistent kura-wide understanding of NCEA assessment practice. Regular whānau hui and interaction ensure students are kept up-to-date with requirements and expectations for NCEA. The student handbook is written in clear language for students to understand. The course outlines describe the necessary assessment information for students across their subjects, ensuring consistency of understanding kura-wide.

Areas for improvement

The kura need to ensure that the kaiako demonstrate a consistent understanding of the requirement to report derived and emergency grades based on standard-specific evidence. Senior management acknowledge that follow up to external moderation needs to be strengthened. Action plans are developed to address issues identified by moderators and monitored by senior management. Outcomes will provide valuable information for self-review of current assessment practice.

Action Items

The Wharekura agreed that a number of actions will improve the quality of their assessment systems. These are to:

- ensure evidence gathered to report derived and emergency grades is standard-specific, valid and verifiable
- ensure there is adequate follow-up to external moderation outcomes
- support kaiako to establish and maintain relationships with external verifiers.

Kay Wilson
Manager
School Quality Assurance and Liaison

NZQA

0800 697 296

www.nzqa.govt.nz

FINDINGS OF THIS REVIEW

How effectively has the school responded to external and internal review?

External review

Evidence found that external review actions have been appropriately and effectively addressed. (CAAS Guidelines 2.6iv, 3v)

Action Items from 22 October 2015 MNA Report The agreed items from this report have been actioned. As a result there are systems and processes to:

- ensure verification occurs in a timely fashion as soon as possible after assessment has taken place
- provide moderation folders and/or boxes to the Principal's Nominee for storage and for easy access for external moderation requirements
- discuss external moderator feedback with their verifiers where this subject expert is from another school or kura
- investigate the reasons for internal and external outliers
- use kura-wide NCEA data to tell its own story of student achievement
rationalise assessment procedure documents to remove duplication.

Response to external moderation outcomes Monitoring systems for kaiako responding to external moderation are in place. However, to ensure this process is not only compliant but robust, the kura must address the issues from external moderation. Feedback from the external moderation submission should form the basis of self-review on the effectiveness of its external moderation.

Internal review

Evidence found that the school is effectively using its self-review and evaluation processes to identify areas for on-going improvement in assessment practice and procedures, which are then actioned. (CAAS Guidelines 3iv)

Where issues with assessment are identified the kura has processes that allow it to make changes that lead to improvements in assessment practice. There was, however, little evidence to suggest that a regular programme of self-review is used to identify where improvements could be implemented to support student achievement.

Self-review identified included:

- a review of how data is analysed to track student progress and identify those at risk of not completing qualifications
- ensuring students have a comprehensive Individual Education Development Plan that meets their personal goals and future pathways annually.

No action required

No issues with the school's response to NZQA external reviews and its self-review of assessment systems and practice were identified during this review.

How effectively does the school's assessment practice meet the needs of its students?

Evidence found that assessment practice is meeting student needs (CAAS Guidelines 2.5v-vii, 2.6 I & ii and Assessment (including Examination) Rules for Schools with Consent to Assess 2017 5.5)

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki has effective processes and procedures for meeting the needs of their students by:

- providing clear guidance around assessment methods, evidence gathering and assessment opportunities, based on kaupapa developed by the kura and reflecting its unique character
- ensuring students have an opportunity to provide authentic work for assessment
- ensuring the authenticity of student work submitted
- developing Individual Education Plans to reflect a student's ability, aspirations and interests
- managing missed and late assessment, further assessment opportunities and appeals
- using class profiles to identify ability and matching assessment opportunities to suit.

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki has effective processes and procedures for credible assessment by:

- mentoring students (mahi tahi) and providing information that is relevant for their assessment pathways and future aspirations. This includes providing students with pathways towards qualifications, certificates, and endorsements
- encouraging the use of naturally occurring evidence from a variety of contexts to engage students in learning.

Emergency and derived grade proces All kaiako must understand the derived and emergency grades should be based on standard-specific, valid and verifiable evidence. This means public tasks must be modified, commercial tasks kept secure and the grades awarded student work must be justifiable or verifiable. (See NZQA Circular 2015/25).

Agreed action

NZQA and senior management agree on the following action to improve the management of assessment for national qualifications. Senior management undertakes to:

- ensure evidence gathered to report derived and emergency grades is standard-specific, valid and verifiable or justifiable.

How effectively does the school's internal and external moderation assure assessment quality?

Evidence found that internal and external moderation are ensuring assessment quality (CAAS Guidelines 2.6iii & vi, and Assessment (including Examination) Rules for Schools with Consent to Assess 2017 6.4b)

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki has effective processes and procedures for managing internal moderation by:

- ensuring assessment activities are set to the national standard by having modified or new assessments critiqued by another kaiako
- recording the completion of moderation processes on an *Internal Moderation Cover Sheet*.

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki has effective processes and procedures for managing external moderation by:

- ensuring material for all standards is adequately stored so that it is available if requested for external moderation
- providing kaiako with access to the NZQA External Moderation Application so they can view completed reports.

Maintain relationships with external verifiers Subject kaiako network extensively with other schools and kura subject specialists outside of Ruatoki to verify grades awarded to students. These relationships are valued for their professional learning, support of grades awarded and providing consistent judgements for the work verified. However, kaiako identified challenges posed in establishing collaborative arrangements, maintaining reciprocity, and ensuring timely feedback. Therefore, the kura needs to provide additional support to kaiako to establish and maintain their relationships.

Effective monitoring of internal moderation Senior management conduct an annual audit for each learning area, which includes checking internal moderation processes have been followed. This provides an account of moderation effectiveness and issues, where identified, as well as supporting kura-wide consistent practice and confirming that internal results reported are quality assured.

Agreed action

NZQA and senior management agree on the following action to improve the school's internal and external moderation to assure assessment quality. Senior management undertakes to:

- ensure there is adequate follow-up to external moderation outcomes
- support kaiako to establish and maintain relationships with external verifiers.

How effectively does the school manage and make use of assessment-related data?

Evidence found that data management and use supports student achievement outcomes (CAAS Guidelines 2.6v, 2.7i-iii)

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki effectively:

- **uses assessment-related data to support achievement outcomes for students by:**
 - regularly monitoring and tracking student achievement in kaiako hui
 - identifying target and priority learners/students through data analysis and resourcing support to meet their specific-needs.
- **reports accurate achievement data by:**
 - timely reporting of results to NZQA
 - having kaiako carry out regular checks against mark books and kaiako folders to ensure the accuracy of data recorded
 - ensuring all entries have a reported result
 - reconciling memorandum of understanding with reported results to ensure the correct external provider code is used.

Using data reports to support and monitor student achievement The kura has developed effective processes to track student achievement progress. Data reports are produced on a regular basis to inform the Principal's Nominee and kaiako about the progress of NCEA students. Data also informs the planning, development and design of teaching and assessment programmes across the year and helps kaiako develop strategies to support students to meet their goals and complete qualifications.

No action required

No issues with the kura's management and use of assessment-related data were identified during this review.

How effectively does the school's communication inform staff, and students and their families about assessment?

Evidence found that school communication ensures understanding about assessment (CAAS Guidelines 2.4i(f), 2.4v, 2.6vii, 2.7iib)

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki has effective processes and procedures for:

- ensuring students receive course outlines that contain subject-specific assessment information
- communicating assessment policy and procedures to whānau
- reviewing communications to ensure they are fit for purpose and current
- meeting and disseminating information for whānau to better understand the requirements of NCEA

Te Wharekura o Ruatoki assists common understanding of assessment practice by:

- checking whether kaiako, students and whānau are following processes
- informing teachers about assessment best practice and providing opportunities to discuss changes
- having professional discussions to share good assessment practice and develop organisational capability
- knowing that students understand what they need to achieve in order to gain a qualification.

Good communication and regular hui The Principal's Nominee holds regular hui with kaiako to discuss NCEA issues and update documentation to reflect current practice. Regular hui between the Tūmuaki, Principal's Nominee, kaiako and student have supported the development of this understanding.

No action required

No issues with the way in which the school maintained the currency of assessment policy and procedures, and communicated them to staff, students and families were identified during this review.