

3

91484A



NEW ZEALAND QUALIFICATIONS AUTHORITY
MANA TOHU MĀTAURANGA O AOTEAROA

QUALIFY FOR THE FUTURE WORLD
KIA NOHO TAKATŪ KI TŌ ĀMUA AO!



SUPERVISOR'S USE ONLY

Level 3 Art History, 2016

91484 Examine the relationship(s) between art and context

9.30 a.m. Wednesday 23 November 2016
Credits: Four

ANSWER BOOKLET

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Examine the relationship(s) between art and context.	Examine, in depth, the relationship(s) between art and context.	Examine, perceptively, the relationship(s) between art and context.

Check that the National Student Number (NSN) on your admission slip is the same as the number at the top of this page.

Use this booklet to answer ONE question from Question Booklet 91484Q.

If you need more room for any answer, use the extra space provided at the back of this booklet.

Check that this booklet has pages 2–8 in the correct order and that none of these pages is blank.

YOU MUST HAND THIS BOOKLET TO THE SUPERVISOR AT THE END OF THE EXAMINATION.

High Achievement

TOTAL

4

ASSESSOR'S USE ONLY

Question number: QUESTION SEVEN.

Art work (1): JACKSON POLLOCK, PAINTING NUMBER 27, 1950

Art work (2): BARBARA KRUEGER, UNTITLED, 1991.

JACKSON POLLOCK AND BARBARA KRUEGER BOTH ARE TWO DIFFERENT ARTIST WHO WERE FROM DIFFERENT PERIODS OF TIME. THE TWO ARTIST CONVEYED DIFFERENT ARTWORKS, WITH DIFFERENT MEANINGS AND REASONS BEHIND THEM. BOTH ARTISTS WOULD HAVE EXPERIENCED DIFFERENT BACKGROUNDS BECAUSE OF THE PERIOD THEY WERE BORN IN AS WELL AS THEIR DIFFERENT SETS OF MUSIC.

~~JACKSON POLLOCK IN "PAINTING NUMBER 27"~~

~~DURING THE 1950S, THE GREAT DEPRESSION HAD JUST ENDED AND THE VIETNAM WAR SEEMED TO BE AT ITS LAST STAGES TOO. JACKSON POLLOCK 'PAINTING NUMBER 27' WAS AN OIL ON CANVAS WORK, WITH ENAMEL AND ALUMINIUM PAINTING TOO. THE ART WORK IS FILLED WITH SLASHING GESTURAL STROKES, WHEN POLLOCK RECOVERED PAINTING WITHOUT THE PAINT BRUSH TOUCHING THE CANVAS. POLLOCK UPON MOVING TO THE COUNTRY SIDE IN THE LATE 1940'S STARTED USING LARGE CANVASES TO EXPLORE AND PAINT ON. AT ONE STAGE, POLLOCK MOVED HIS PAINTINGS OUTSIDE WHERE HE PAINTED ON THE GROUND, ~~WHICH~~ ALLOWING HIM TO STEP AND WALK ALL OVER HIS PAINTING CANVAS, THIS IS WHY MOST OF HIS ARTWORKS HAS FOOT PRINTS, ALONG WITH BURN MARKS OR CLUMPS OF ~~BRICKS, CIGARETTES, AND OTHER THINGS~~ ASH ON THEM, BECAUSE HE WAS OFTEN LEANING FORWARD OVER HIS ARTWORK. POLLOCK DIDN'T BELIEVE IN PAINTING BEING PERSONAL, INSTEAD ALLOWED HIS MIND AND HAND/ARM TO DO THE~~

WORK, INSTEAD OF MIXING HIS FEELINGS IN WITH IT.

'PAINTING NUMBER 27' IS A WORK THAT WAS
ORIGINALLY HORIZONTAL, HOWEVER IN RECENT EXHIBITIONS
SUCH AS "AMERICA IS HARD TO SEE" THE PAINTING IS
HUNG UP VERTICALLY, INTERPRETING A WHOLE DIFFERENT MEANING.

~~THE~~ POLLOCK WAS AN ALCOHOLIC WHO SUFFERED FROM
DEPRESSION, AND WAS SAID TO HAVE DIFFERENT STYLES AND
LOOKS OF PAINTING WHEN IN TWO DIFFERENT STAGES (SOBER
AND DRUNK). JACKSON WHO DEPENDED ON HIS BROTHER ALOT
DECIDED TO JOIN THE MILITARY, WHICH CAUSED POLLOCK
TO GO THROUGH A VERY DARK TIME IN HIS ART,
HOWEVER WHEN POLLOCK AND HIS WIFE LEE KRUGER MOVED
TO THE COUNTRY SIDE, AND POLLOCK STOPPED DRINKING,
HE (POLLOCK) WAS ABLE TO PRODUCE WORK LIKE FULL
PANTOM FIVE, PAINTING NUMBER 27 AND ETC. DUE TO POLLOCK
BELIEVING ART SHOULD BE DISATTACHED FROM HUMANS,
HE WOULD OFTEN SAY "I AM NATURE", SHOWING HIS
CONTENTMENT WITH NOT MAKING ART PERSONAL. THE
REFLECTION OF HIS COMMENT ON HIS WORK OF ART
WOULD USUALLY MAKE HIS WORK VERY BROAD, WITH NO
FIX MEANING BEHIND THE WORK, IT WAS SIMPLY ~~WHAT~~
WHAT
PEOPLE WANTED IT TO BE, HOWEVER, POLLOCK NO MATTER
HOW DISATTACHED (COULDN'T STAND PEOPLE) CRITICISM ON HIS
WORK, HE KNEW/ FELT THAT HE WAS BEST IN WHAT HE
DID BY CREATING ART PEOPLE WOULD LOVE TO SEE, UNDERSTAND
(THE SIMPLICITY) AND WANT.

COMPARED TO POLLOCK, KRUGER BELIEVED ART
WAS NOTHING BUT PERSONAL. KRUGER BEING AN ARTIST

DURING THE SECOND WAVE OF FEMINISM, OFTEN (IF NOT ALWAYS) MADE HER ART ABOUT WHAT WAS GOING ON IN SOCIETY AND WHAT SHE BELIEVE SHOULD BE SHOWN TO THE PUBLIC. KRUGER WITH UNTITLED SHOWED VIEWERS ANOTHER FEMINIST WORK TO SHOW HER STANCE AGAINST WHAT SHE FELT WAS WRONG ON SOCIETIES PART (MALES BEING GIVEN MORE RIGHTS, FREEDOM AND RESPECT THAN FEMALES). ~~BE~~ FIGHTING WITH HER ART WAS SOMETHING KRUGER DID, MAKING STATEMENT PIECES FOR EVERYONE TO SEE (E.G. UNTITLED: YOUR BODY IS A BATTLEGROUND, UNTITLED: YOUR GAZE HITS THE SIDE OF MY FACE). THROUGH ALL KRUGER'S WORKS VIEWERS CAN SEE SHE AS AN ARTIST ~~THAT~~ MAKE EACH AND EVERY WORK PERSONAL.

"POLITICAL IS PERSONAL", KRUGER WAS ONE TO BELIEVE THAT EVERYTHING THAT WAS A POLITICAL ISSUE AFFECTED A PERSON TOO. AS AN ARTIST, KRUGER BELIEVED SHE HAD A DUTY TO SHOW PEOPLE THE ERRORS OF SOCIETY AND THE MISUNDERSTANDINGS SOME MEMBERS OF SOCIETY ARE MAKING DUE TO THE TIME, PLACE THEY (THE PEOPLE) WERE BROUGHT UP IN. ART IS ABLE TO BE CHANGED FROM SOMETHING VERY PERSONAL AND RELATABLE TO SOMETHING VERY BROAD, GENERAL AND 'COOL TO LOOK AT', KRUGER WITH NONE OF HER WORK SHOWED ANY SIGN OF DISCONNECTION AND BROAD GENERAL IDEAS BECAUSE OF HOW INVESTED OF AN ARTIST SHE WAS.

KRUGER'S POLITICAL IS PERSONAL ^{SOMETHING} IS A LOT OF FEMINIST ARTIST BELIEVE, AND WORKED ON SHOWING POLITICAL JUSTICE WITH THEIR ART AND THE

PROTEST AND UNANSWERED QUESTIONS THEY (THE ARTIST) GET ANSWERED BECAUSE OF THEIR WORKS AND THE PERSONAL POLITICAL STATEMENT, BEHIND EACH QUESTION.

BARBARA KRUGER AND JACKSON POLLOCK WERE 2 COMPLETELY DIFFERENT ARTISTS WHO HAD DIFFERENT VIEWPOINTS ON HOW AN ARTIST SHOULD BE WITH THEIR PAINTINGS. WHILE KRUGER IS VERY CONNECTED, PERSONAL AND MOUNT IN HER WORKS, WHICH MAKE VIEWERS UNDERSTAND HER, KNOW MORE ABOUT THE WORK AND THE MEANINGS BEHIND THE WORKS, (THE MOVEMENTS)

POLLOCK IS COMPLETELY OPPOSITE TO HER, POLLOCK THROUGH HIS ART SHOWS THAT ALTHOUGH ONE IS NOT PERSONALLY INVOLVED, ART CAN STILL BE REFLECTED AND UNDERSTOOD. POLLOCK BELIEVED THAT LIKE THE EARLY RENAISSANCE ARTISTS THE ARTIST IS NOT IMPORTANT, THE ARTWORK AND ITS ABILITY TO MAKE PEOPLE FEEL THINGS IS. THE ARTIST AND HIS/HER ~~OWN~~ CIRCUMSTANCES WHILE PAINTING THE ART WORK HAS A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT, BECAUSE OF THE WAY A PIECE OF ART LOOKS IN DURING ITS PRODUCT. FOR EXAMPLE WHEN POLLOCK WAS DRINKING, SAD AND DEPRESSED, HIS ARTWORK WAS VERY BAD, NO ONE WANTED TO BUY IT, HOWEVER, WHEN HE HAD SOBERED UP, AND STAYED AWAY FROM ALCOHOL, POLLOCK WAS ABLE TO MAKE WORK AND REACH A LEVEL OF FAME MANY ARTIST AT THAT TIME WANTED.

BOTH KRUGER AND POLLOCK ARE AMAZING ARTISTS WHO CREATED CHANGE IN THE ART WORLD, HAVING A SIGNIFICANT INFLUENCE ON UP AND COMING ARTIST THEN AND NOW.

Achievement

Subject:	Art History	Standard:	91484		
Q	Grade score	Annotation			
7	A4	The candidate reaches A4 by including sufficient evidence that clearly explains relationship(s) between art and the personal circumstances of the selected artists. To reach M5, they needed to directly address the requirements of the selected question, focusing on information relevant to the question and supporting their explanations with appropriate evidence from the identified art works and/or other sources.			