

No part of the candidate's evidence in this exemplar material may be presented in an external assessment for the purpose of gaining an NZQA qualification or award.

SUPERVISOR'S USE ONLY

1

92050



Draw a cross through the box (☒) if you have NOT written in this booklet

+



Mana Tohu Mātauranga o Aotearoa
New Zealand Qualifications Authority

Level 1 Social Studies 2024

92050 Demonstrate understanding of decisions made in relation to a contemporary social issue

Credits: Five

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Demonstrate understanding of decisions made in relation to a contemporary social issue.	Explain decisions made in relation to a contemporary social issue.	Examine decisions made in relation to a contemporary social issue.

Check that the National Student Number (NSN) on your admission slip is the same as the number at the top of this page.

You should attempt ALL parts of the task in this booklet.

Pull out Resource Booklet 92050R from the centre of this booklet.

If you need more room for any answer, use the extra space provided at the back of this booklet.

Check that this booklet has pages 2–7 in the correct order and that none of these pages is blank.

Do not write in the margins (//////). This area will be cut off when the booklet is marked.

YOU MUST HAND THIS BOOKLET TO THE SUPERVISOR AT THE END OF THE EXAMINATION.

High Merit

TOTAL 06

Page 1

Make sure you have Resource Booklet 92050R.

INSTRUCTIONS

Sharenting is parents, guardians, or other relatives sharing details about children's lives on social media. Read the information in the resource booklet about decisions made in relation to **sharenting**. Use relevant evidence from the resources to support your responses.

TASK: DECISIONS MADE IN RELATION TO A CONTEMPORARY SOCIAL ISSUE

(a) Identify and describe TWO decisions made in relation to sharenting.

What are the ethical considerations that influenced EACH decision?

B *I* U |   |  

In the introduction it states that sharenting has become a norm. The act of sharenting is when parents, guardians, or other relatives share pictures or details about their children's lives on social media. A decision that has been made by Della Noyce, a mum of one, is to share content of her daughter, 18 month old Dulcie, with her 1.8 million followers. The primary ethical consideration behind Della Noyce is the parental rights, the rights of a parent to make decisions regarding their children, this ethical consideration is shown and supported by Della Noyce's statement that she 100 percent agrees with people who don't want to post their children online. Della Noyce's statement emphasises that it is up to the parents if they want to post their children online or not for their own personal reasons. This also indicates that Della Noyce understands the risks of posting her child up online, as she clearly states she 100% agrees with parents who don't want to post their children online, but now Della and Dulcie make money from tiktok which is used to support her family which may be another ethical consideration behind Della's decision, the ethical consideration of it is a way for her to make a income together with her daughter which she can use for herself, and especially Dulcie needs.

A second decision which has been made in relation to sharenting is the introduced new law in France to ban sharenting, which is being debated. This debating law to ban sharenting is, a new bill that has been passed by France National Assembly, which would allow courts to ban parents from posting photos of their children on social media. The ethical considerations of this decision is the children's rights, which includes their privacy and the right to consent, due to the fact that they are the ones being posted up on social media. The indication of this ethical consideration is due to the law clearly stating that parents would be required to gain consent of their child before posting their children on social media. Another indication of why children's rights was a ethical consideration behind this decision is the bill coming at a time when we were seeing a growth in sharenting, and clearly stated in frances decision "putting the privacy of the child at risk.





(b) What are some similarities and differences between the ethical considerations you have chosen?

B *I* U |   |  

The similarity behind the ethical considerations of parental rights, and children's rights is the value of their rights, meaning that they both have rights as a human being in society, and they are both allegible of those rights as a child, and as a parent. The difference between these two ethical considerations is what those rights are. The parents rights is based on the fact that the child is theirs, and the children that are underaged may not be understanding of their own decisions, and as a parents perspective they are more understanding of what decision is the most reasonable. For example Della Noyce making a income through posting with her child allows her to support her family therefore her decision benefits herself and also her child. The difERENCE of the ethical consideration, children's rights compared to the parents rights is that although their parents are their guardians and as a child you are still underage, their feelings and privacy have to be considered as in this case of sharenting it is their privacy being put out to social media, a public platform, and therefore risking their future and threatning their safety. For example Frances decision allowed children to have their rights although it is the parents child and they have their rights as the childs guardian, but it is the children being posted up on social media, therefore their right matters in this situation because now their safety may be at risk.

(c) What are the impacts and implications of the decisions made in relation to sharenting?

Impacts	The direct effects on individuals, groups, or places.
Implications	The wider consequences for society of the decisions made in relation to sharenting.

B *I* U |   |  

A impact on Dulcie because of her mum Della's decision is while she is younger Dulcie will have a close relationship with her mum as they make content together, but there is still a risk that as Dulcie gets older she may feel a different way about Della's decision to post her up when she was younger due to learning new things, and as a teenager she may feel embarrassed due to these. There is also the possibility that when it comes time for Dulcie to find a job and pursue a career the content she made with her mum may put her at risk of finding a job and could make pursuing a career difficult.

An impact on the parents in France is that they may feel as though their rights as a parent are not considered at all. If the children end up going against their parents about posting them on social media, it may affect their relationship together. An impact on the children in France is that they will more likely in the future have less difficulty finding a job due to them not being exposed to social media as a child, and also their safety will not be put at risk. This could also help parents view sharenting from children's perspective and allow them to understand the risks of sharenting and how it could affect their children's future.

Merit

Subject: Social Studies

Standard: 92050

Total score: 06

Grade score	Marker commentary
M6	<p>The candidate successfully identified two decisions made in relation to sharenting and discussed how the ethical considerations of parental rights and children's rights have influenced these decisions, using evidence to describe.</p> <p>The candidate compared parental rights and children's rights with evidence, using clear examples, such as Della Noyce making an income.</p> <p>The candidate explained the impacts of the decisions made with evidence, such as finding it hard to get a job, or increased safety in France.</p>