Assessment Schedule - 2021

Classical Studies: Analyse the impact of a significant historical figure on the classical world (91396)

Assessment Criteria

| Achievement | Achievement with Merit | Achievement with Excellence |
|---|--|--|
| Analyse involves: methodically examining the impact of the historical figure as communicated through primary-source evidence discussing significant socio-political factors that may have influenced the historical figure drawing conclusions about the impact of the historical figure on the classical world. | Analyse, in depth, involves undertaking an informed and coherent discussion of the significant socio-political factors that may have influenced the historical figure. Features of an informed and coherent discussion include: using primary-source evidence of specific relevance to the context exploring more than one viewpoint making connections between individuals and their historical context drawing conclusions that are supported by primary- | Analyse, with perception, involves discussing with insight the impact of the historical figure, as communicated through primary-source evidence. Features of a perceptive discussion include: providing critical evaluation showing understanding of wider implications of the analysis drawing developed conclusions. |
| The candidate analyses the impact of a significant historical figure on the classical world, as communicated through primary-source evidence. | source evidence. The candidate analyses in depth the impact of the historical figure, as communicated through primary-source evidence. | The candidate analyses, with perception , the impact of the historical figure, as communicated through primary-source evidence. |
| The candidate shows general understanding of significant socio-political factors that may have influenced the historical figure, and draws general conclusions about the figure's impact. | The candidate shows informed understanding of significant socio-political factors that may have influenced the historical figure, and draws well-supported conclusions about the figure's impact. | The candidate shows perceptive understanding of significant socio-political factors that may have influenced the historical figure, and draws developed conclusions about the figure's impact. |
| The candidate's response may lack specific detail , and aspects of the question may be underdeveloped or omitted. | The candidate's response covers most aspects of the question, but treatment may be unbalanced. | The candidate's response covers all aspects of the question in appropriate depth. |

Cut Scores

| Not Achieved | Achievement | Achievement with Merit | Achievement with Excellence | |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 0 – 2 | 3 – 4 | 5 – 6 | 7 – 8 | |

Evidence

| N1 | N2 | А3 | A4 | M5 | М6 | E7 | E8 |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| Provides some accurate factual information about the career/life of a significant historical figure, but not in the context of the question. | Discusses a significant historical figure in the context of the question, but does not provide a methodical examination of their impact on the classical world. | Discusses a significant historical figure in the context of the question; there is some methodical examination of their impact on the classical world, but an analytical approach is not always sustained; the response has a discernible structure, but not all arguments are properly developed. | Discusses a significant historical figure in the context of the question; there is methodical examination of their impact on the classical world, and an analytical approach is sustained but incomplete; the response has a discernible structure, but not all arguments are properly developed. | Discusses, in depth, a significant historical figure in the context of the question; examination of their impact on the classical world is well-informed and coherent, but some of it is too narrowly focused. | Discusses, in depth, a significant historical figure in the context of the question; examination of their impact on the classical world is well-informed, coherent, and broadly focused. | Discusses, with insight, a significant historical figure in the context of the question; examination of their impact on the classical world is well-informed, perceptive, and wide-ranging; the complexity of primary- and, as appropriate, secondary-source evidence is acknowledged implicitly or explicitly. | Discusses, with insight, a significant historical figure in the context of the question; examination of their impact on the classical world is well-informed, perceptive, and wide-ranging; the complexity of primary and, as appropriate, secondary-source evidence is acknowledged and objectively evaluated. |
| Provides a little primary- source evidence. | Provides some primary- source evidence in the form of short quotations or paraphrasing, but not all evidence is pertinent. | Provides some pertinent primary-source evidence in the form of short quotations or paraphrasing, but it is incomplete and/or imprecise. | Provides pertinent primary-source evidence in the form of short quotations or paraphrasing, but it is incomplete and / or imprecise. | Provides primary-source evidence of specific relevance to context in the form of short quotations or paraphrasing, but it is not complete. | Consistently provides primary-source evidence of specific relevance to context in the form of short quotations or paraphrasing. | Consistently provides a range of primary-source evidence of specific relevance to context in the form of short quotations or paraphrasing. | Consistently provides a range of primary-source evidence of specific relevance to context in the form of short quotations or paraphrasing. |
| | Recounts the story of the historical figure's career/life, but discussion of socio- historical factors is inadequate. | Demonstrates basic understanding of the socio-political factors that affected the historical figure's career /life, but there is some oversimplification. | Demonstrates sound understanding of the socio-political factors that affected the historical figure's career /life, but there is some oversimplification. | Demonstrates informed understanding of the socio-political factors that affected the historical figure's career / life; connections are made between individuals and their historical context, and / or alternative viewpoints are mentioned. | Demonstrates informed understanding of the socio-political factors that affected the historical figure's career / life; connections are made between individuals and their historical context, and / or alternative viewpoints are described; discussion is thorough and logically developed. | Shows evidence of a critical evaluation of the socio-political factors that affected the historical figure's career /life; explicit connections are made between individuals and their historical context; the wider implications of events are taken into account, and alternative viewpoints are described; discussion is balanced and focused. | Shows evidence of critical evaluation of the socio-political factors that affected the historical figure's career /life; insightful connections are made between individuals and their historical context; the wider implications of events are analysed, and alternative viewpoints are evaluated; discussion is sophisticated and reveals an ability to synthesise evidence. |
| | Draws underdeveloped conclusions. | Draws general conclusions. | Draws general conclusions, some of which are supported by specific examples . | Draws general conclusions, most of which are supported by specific examples. | Draws general conclusions that are consistently supported by specific examples. | Draws developed conclusions that are supported by specific, well-chosen examples. | Draws developed conclusions that are skilfully supported by specific, well-chosen examples. |