## Assessment Schedule - 2022

# Digital Technologies and Hangarau Matihiko: Present a reflective analysis of developing a digital outcome (91909)

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence		
Presenting a <b>reflective analysis</b> of developing a digital outcome involves:	Presenting an in-depth reflective analysis of developing a digital outcome involves:	Presenting an insightful reflective analysis of developing a digital outcome involves:		
explaining the digital outcome that was developed				
<ul> <li>linking the outcome's characteristics to decisions made in the development process, referring to:</li> </ul>	analysing how decisions made affected the development process, referring to:	critiquing the outcome and the development process and reflecting on what could have been done differently.		
<ul><li>selection of tools and techniques used to develop the outcome</li><li>the influence of stakeholder feedback.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>selection of tools and techniques used to develop the outcome</li> <li>the influence of stakeholder feedback.</li> </ul>			
	explaining how new knowledge and skills guided the development process.			
		drawing conclusions about the outcome and / or development process.		

## **Cut Scores**

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0 – 2	3 – 4	5 – 6	7 – 8	

# NCEA Level 3 Digital Technologies and Hangarau Matihiko (91909) 2022 — page 2 of 3

Task part	Evidence	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
(a)	Describes the digital outcome. Image can be used to support the answer but is not required. The outcome must be sufficiently complex and at an appropriate curriculum level.	Provides clear, detailed explanation about specific characteristics or attributes of the outcome. These may be identified in later responses as well.		
(b), (c), (d)	Answers all three sections (the selection of tools and techniques, the ways of addressing implications and enduser considerations, and the influence of stakeholder feedback).  Answers need to indicate that the outcome is authentic and genuine (and not of contrived practice).  Some students will make electronics outcomes, these are valid.	Explains specific instance of how the selection of tools and techniques impacted / guided the outcome.     Explains specific instance of how decisions to do with end-user considerations impacted / guided the outcome.     Explains specific instance of how decisions based on stakeholder feedback impacted / guided the outcome.	Explains specific instance of how the selection of tools and techniques impacted / guided the development process.     Explains specific instance of how decisions to do with end-user considerations impacted / guided the development process.     Explains specific instance of how decisions based on stakeholder feedback impacted / guided the development process.	
(e)	Identifies new knowledge or skills acquired during the development process and how they affected the development of the digital outcome.		Explains new knowledge or skills that they have acquired through the development of the digital outcome.	
(f)	Describes improvements which are not minor or superficial.  There is sufficient evidence that the student understands why a change will have an effect.			Makes reflective comments about both the development process and the outcome that show serious thought and consideration.  There are justifications about how the development process and the outcome might be improved and how the provided specific, non-trivial ideas would improve it.

#### Evidence

N1	N2	А3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8
Selects only one decision OR Selects two decisions but both have limited links to the digital component.	Selects two decisions but at least one answer has limited links to the digital component.	Understands links between the outcome and decisions made in their development process.	Clearly understands and can identify links between the outcome and decisions made in their development process.	Analyses by comparing and contrasting different decisions and discusses the effects (realised or not) on the outcome.	Analyses by comparing and contrasting different decisions and discusses the effects (realised or not) on the outcome.	Identifies aspects of their development process which could be improved.	Identifies aspects of their development process which could be improved.
Answers are not linked to the digital component.	Lists but does not explain answers.	Answers may have a few errors.	Clearly links to digital components.	Explains new knowledge and skills, but links to development process are weak.	Analysis is well- considered and relevant to developed outcome. Strong links to development process.	Understands and critiques how changes could be implemented to improve both their development process and their outcome.	Understands and critiques how changes could be implemented to improve both their development process and their outcome.
Outcome is made with no underlying development process.		Explains outcome, but explanation may be clumsy.	Evidence of an effective development process and an outcome that is sufficiently complex to require a development process.			Conclusions draw from a sufficiently complex and curriculum level appropriate outcome.	Conclusions draw from a sufficiently complex and curriculum level appropriate outcome.
	Displays limited understanding of development process.	Identifies links between their outcome and their development process, but links may be clumsy.	Answers correctly with complete explanations.	Skills and knowledge responses must be curriculum level appropriate.	Skills and knowledge responses must be curriculum level appropriate.	Clearly links to the digital components of the outcome.	Clearly links to the digital components of the outcome.
		Some aspects of the response may be partial or weak.		Some decision analysis of the response may be partial or weak.		Some conclusions and critiques may be partial or weak.	

**N0** = No response; no relevant evidence.