Pilot Assessment Schedule - 2023

Design and Visual Communication: Use instrumental drawing techniques to communicate a product or spatial design outcome (92003)

Assessment Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Use instrumental drawing techniques to communica the surface features of a product or spatial design outcome.	Use instrumental drawing techniques to communicate the technical features of a product or spatial design outcome.	Use instrumental drawing techniques to accurately communicate construction or assembly details of a product or spatial design outcome.

Evidence

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
Shows sketches or design work where the features of their own design outcome have been worked out. (Quality of sketches is not being assessed. They are preparation for producing the instrumental drawings.)	Shows sketches or design work where the detailed features of their own design outcome have been worked out. (Quality of sketches is not being assessed. They are preparation for producing the instrumental drawings.)	Shows sketches or design work where suitable assembly , construction or internal features of own design outcome have been worked out. (Quality of sketches is not being assessed. They are preparation for producing the instrumental drawings.)
Uses 2D and 3D instrumental techniques to communicate own design outcome by showing visible surface features, size, and form of a design outcome through use of: CAD or manual instrumental techniques	Selects 2D and 3D instrumental techniques / views that show these features including those not visible on surface. Uses 2D and 3D instrumental techniques to produce scaled orthographic (2D) and paraline (3D) drawings that show:	Selects instrumental techniques / views that show these features clearly (including showing information about assembly, construction, or internal spatial relationships). Uses instrumental techniques to produce precisely executed orthographic (2D) and paraline (3D) drawings.
Two or more related orthographic drawing views	Use of a scale	
Paraline drawing.	Detailed aspects, such as internal components, internal details, internal spatial relationships or additional information beyond main outline.	

Appendix: Marker determination of validity of evidence

Professional judgement

The marker will determine a grade using their professional judgement based on a holistic examination of the evidence provided.

Demonstration of understanding

A response must use information to **demonstrate understanding**. The marker must exercise professional judgement to decide if it does so. The following guidance is provided to assist in making this professional judgement.

- A response **demonstrates understanding** if it can be described wholly or substantially by one or more of the statements in the **left-hand column**.
- A response does not demonstrate understanding if it can be described wholly or substantially by one or more of the statements in the right-hand column.
- If a response is comprised of both used and reproduced information, the marker must decide if it meets the standard when the reproduced information is ignored.

Evidence of <u>use</u> of information	Evidence of <u>reproduction</u> of information
Prompts and / or questions have been provided and the candidate has responded to these.	Information is presented that does not relate to the prompts.
The response uses information relating to the standard, the prompts, or questions.	
Information from the candidate's practice, performance, research, the practice of others, and or teaching, is related to the candidate's experiences.	Information is presented in isolation from the candidate's experiences.
The response shows understanding that could be expected to come from a course of instruction derived from Level 6 of <i>The New Zealand Curriculum</i> .	Little or nothing is offered to suggest the information is related to a course of instruction at Level 6 of <i>The New Zealand Curriculum</i> .
Information is presented in the candidate's own voice.	Information is not in the candidate's voice. The word choice, sentence structure, sentence length, punctuation etc. are not what a candidate could be expected to produce.
Referenced complex research information unchanged by paraphrase is related to other information in a manner that constructs meaning.	Unreferenced complex information is presented as though it is the candidate's own work.

In general, the marker will exercise the following judgement:

N1	N2
The response does not include enough evidence to show understanding, and / or is substantially reproduced with little mediation by candidate.	The response is substantially produced by the candidate, but demonstrates little understanding. One part of the required response may be completely missing, or several parts may be weak.

Where doubt exists as to whether evidence has been produced, mediated, or used by the candidate, the doubt must be exercised to the benefit of the candidate.