Assessment Schedule - 2024

Classical Studies: Analyse the significance of a work(s) of art in the classical world (91395)

Assessment Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence		
Analyse the significance involves: undertaking a methodical examination and interpretation of the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art in their artistic and/or historical	Analyse, in depth, the significance involves providing an informed and coherent discussion of the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art. Features of an informed and coherent discussion include:	Analyse, with perception, the significance involves discussing with insight the features and effect(s) of the work(s) of art. Features of a perceptive discussion include:		
context	integrating ideas	giving evidence of artistic influence		
discussing the work(s) of art in light of that	making comparisons	providing critical evaluation		
examination and interpretationusing primary-source evidence	 drawing conclusions that are supported by primary-source evidence. 	 showing understanding of wider implications of the analysis 		
drawing conclusions.		drawing developed conclusions.		
The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art, by discussing them in their artistic/historical context.	The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art in depth , by discussing them in an informed and coherent way in their artistic/historical context.	The candidate analyses the significance of features of work(s) of art with perception , by discussing them with insight in their artistic/historical context.		
The candidate shows a general understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws general conclusions.	The candidate shows an in-depth understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws well-supported conclusions.	The candidate shows a perceptive understanding of the ways in which works of art reflect the social, political, religious, and/or artistic environment of the time in which they were produced, and draws developed conclusions.		
The candidate's response may lack specific detail , and aspects of the question may be underdeveloped or omitted.	The candidate's response covers most aspects of the question, but the treatment may be unbalanced.	The candidate's response covers all aspects of the question in appropriate depth.		

Cut Scores

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence	
0-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	

Evidence

N1	N2	А3	A4	M5	М6	E7	E8
Examines the significance of features of an art work(s), but describes, rather than interprets.	Examines the significance of features of an art work(s), but the interpretation is basic and shows limited understanding of effect.	Examines and interprets the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect, but not all important points are properly developed.	Examines and interprets the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect; the discussion is methodical, but lacks depth.	Analyses the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect, providing a range of specific detail and making comparisons and / or contrasts, as appropriate. Discussion is well-informed and coherent, but some is too narrowly focused.	Analyses the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect in depth, providing a range of specific detail and making comparisons and/or contrasts as appropriate. Discussion is well-informed, coherent, and broadly focused.	Evaluates the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect with insight, providing a range of specific detail. Discussion is perceptive and shows awareness of artistic influence and / or innovation, as appropriate.	Evaluates the significance of features of an art work(s) and their effect with insight and originality, providing a range of specific detail. Discussion is perceptive and explicitly describes artistic influence and/or innovation, as appropriate.
Makes minimal reference to the selected work(s).	Makes some references to the selected work(s), but not all are relevant.	Makes some relevant references to the selected work(s).	Makes references to the selected work(s) that support general comment, but not consistently.	Makes references to the selected work(s) that support general comment.	Weaves references into the discussion.	References show critical discernment, reinforce general comment, and are woven into the discussion.	Weaves wide-ranging references into the discussion, showing critical discernment and reinforcing general comment.
Demonstrates little understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context.	Demonstrates some understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context, but the links between the work(s) and their artistic / historical context are implied, rather than explicitly stated.	Demonstrates basic understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context, but there is some oversimplification.	Demonstrates sound understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context, but there is little indication of in-depth knowledge.	Demonstrates informed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context.	Demonstrates informed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context; discussion is thorough and logically developed.	Demonstrates highly-developed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context; discussion is balanced, focused, and convincingly supported by specific examples; links to historical events and / or artistic developments clarify the wider implications of the work(s).	Demonstrates highly-developed understanding of the significance of the features of the work(s) in their artistic / historical context; discussion is sophisticated and reveals an ability to synthesise information; insightful links to historical events and / or artistic developments clarify the wider implications of the work(s); modern art historical criticism may be cited, as appropriate.
	Draws underdeveloped conclusions.	Draws general conclusions.	Draws general conclusions, some of which are supported by specific examples .	Draws general conclusions, most of which are supported by specific examples, and makes appropriate comparisons.	Draws general conclusions that are consistently supported by specific examples, and makes appropriate comparisons.	Draws developed conclusions that are supported by specific, well-chosen examples.	Draws developed conclusions that are skilfully supported by specific, well-chosen examples.

N0 = No response; no relevant evidence.