

Assessment Schedule – 2025

Digital Technologies and Hangarau Matihiko: Present a summary of developing a digital outcome (91899)

Assessment Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
<p>Present a summary of developing a digital outcome involves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> describing the digital outcome that was developed explaining decisions that were made in the development process. 	<p>Present an in-depth summary of developing a digital outcome involves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> discussing how the digital outcome addressed relevant requirements and implications. 	<p>Present a comprehensive summary of developing a digital outcome involves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> evaluating decisions made in the development process discussing what could have been done differently to improve the digital outcome, based on insights gained in the development process.

Cut Scores

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
0–2	3–4	5–6	7–8

Evidence

N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8
<p>Makes relevant comments, but the response is incomplete or does not relate to a digital outcome or is a design rather than a digital outcome.</p>	<p>The digital outcome is not at Level 7 of the New Zealand Curriculum.</p> <p>The digital outcome has mostly or all been created using online tools that simplify the process by utilising templates and / or a drag-and-drop system, with all content sourced from the Internet.</p> <p>Makes relevant comments in some parts of the response.</p> <p>The response has copyright issues.</p>	<p>The digital outcome is at Level 7 of the New Zealand Curriculum. The candidate has named and used appropriate software to create a suitable digital outcome.</p> <p>Describes the digital outcome developed.</p> <p>Explains TWO decisions made during the development process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One that relates to why testing and trialling with particular people or groups was relevant. • One that relates to which subject matter experts were worked with, and why they were relevant. <p>There may be copyright issues with some aspects of the response.</p>	<p>Describes, in detail, the digital outcome developed.</p> <p>Explains, in detail, TWO decisions made during the development process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One that relates to why testing and trialling with particular people or groups was relevant. • One that relates to which subject matter experts were worked with, and why they were relevant. 	<p>Identifies a requirement that relates to aesthetics, functionality, or usability.</p> <p>Discusses how the named requirement was addressed in the digital outcome, and explains what needed to be considered while developing, to address the implication.</p> <p>Identifies a requirement that relates to cultural,</p>	<p>Discusses, in detail, a requirement that relates to aesthetics, functionality, or usability, and gives specific details about how it was implemented in the digital outcome, explaining in detail what needed to be considered when developing to address the implication.</p>	<p>During the development of the outcome, identifies a decision made that went against advice received, and discusses how the digital outcome would be different if the advice had been acted upon, identifying whether this choice would have had a positive or negative impact on the end user's experience.</p> <p>Reflects on a challenge faced when trying to meet a requirement, discussing how the challenge was addressed and evaluating the effectiveness of their approach.</p>	<p>During the development of the outcome, identifies an authentic decision made that went against advice received, and discusses in detail how the digital outcome would be different if the advice had been acted upon, and identifies whether this choice would have had a positive or negative impact on the end user's experience.</p> <p>Comprehensively reflects on an authentic challenge faced when trying to meet a requirement, discussing how the challenge was addressed and evaluating the effectiveness of their approach.</p>

		<p>Some aspects of the response may be partial or weak.</p>		<p>ethical, sustainability, or future-proofing issues.</p> <p>Discusses how the named requirement was balanced when considering the implication.</p> <p>Identifies a trade-off that had to be made, and discusses how it was decided what was most important.</p> <p>Some aspects of the response may lack detail.</p>	<p>Discusses, in detail, how the named requirement was balanced when considering cultural, ethical, sustainability, or future-proofing issues.</p> <p>Identifies a trade-off that had to be made, discussing how it was decided what was most important.</p>	<p>Some aspects of the response may lack detail.</p>	
--	--	---	--	---	---	--	--

N0 = No response; no relevant evidence.