

Assessment Schedule – 2025

Digital Technologies and Hangarau Matihiko: Present a reflective analysis of developing a digital outcome (91909)

Assessment Criteria

Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
<p><i>Presenting a reflective analysis of developing a digital outcome</i> involves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> explaining the digital outcome that was developed linking the outcome's characteristics to decisions made in the development process, referring to (for example): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> selection of tools and techniques used to develop the outcome ways of addressing implications and end-user considerations the influence of stakeholder feedback. 	<p><i>Presenting an in-depth reflective analysis of developing a digital outcome</i> involves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> analysing how decisions made affected the development process, referring to (for example): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> selection of tools and techniques used to develop the outcome ways of addressing implications and end-user considerations the influence of stakeholder feedback explaining how new knowledge and skills guided the development process. 	<p><i>Presenting an insightful reflective analysis of developing a digital outcome</i> involves:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> critiquing the outcome and the development process, and reflecting on what could have been done differently drawing conclusions about the outcome and/or development process.

Cut Scores

Not Achieved	Achievement	Achievement with Merit	Achievement with Excellence
0–2	3–4	5–6	7–8

Evidence

N1	N2	A3	A4	M5	M6	E7	E8
<p>Has not created a digital outcome. <i>OR</i> The digital outcome created is not at Level 8 of the NZ Curriculum.</p> <p>Identifies only ONE decision. <i>OR</i> Identifies TWO decisions, but both have limited links to the digital outcome.</p> <p>The digital outcome is created with no underlying development process.</p>	<p>Lists information, but does not explain answers.</p> <p>Identifies TWO decisions, but at least one response has limited links to the digital outcome.</p> <p>Displays limited understanding of the development process.</p>	<p>Explains the digital outcome that was created, but explanation may be weak.</p> <p>Names a key feature, quality, or attribute of their outcome that relates to their choice in software.</p> <p>Describes a decision made that relates to the key feature, quality, or attribute.</p> <p>Names a key feature, quality, or attribute of their outcome that relates to cultural, ethical, sustainability, or future-proofing implications.</p> <p>Describes a decision made that relates to the key feature, quality, or attribute.</p> <p>Identifies the links between the outcome and decisions made relating to their choice in software and the chosen implication.</p>	<p>Clearly explains the digital outcome that was created, with detailed information about how it looks and works.</p> <p>Names a key feature, quality, or attribute of their outcome that relates to their choice in software.</p> <p>Clearly describes a decision made that relates to the key feature, quality, or attribute.</p> <p>Names a key feature, quality, or attribute of their outcome that relates to cultural, ethical, sustainability, or future-proofing implications.</p> <p>Clearly describes a decision made that relates to the key feature, quality, or attribute.</p> <p>Clearly makes valid links between the outcome and decisions relating to their choice in software and the chosen implication.</p>	<p>Analyses the impact their decision regarding software choice had during the development process, and discusses how the decision affected the functionality, aesthetics, and usability of the digital outcome.</p> <p>Examines how the decision relating to their chosen implication influenced the way they developed their digital outcome, and explains how it affected the final outcome.</p> <p>Explains the new knowledge gained. Explains how the knowledge was applied in practice through skills used within the development process.</p>	<p>Analyses the impact their decision regarding software choice had during the development process, and discusses how the decision affected the functionality, aesthetics, and usability of the digital outcome.</p> <p>Examines how the decision relating to their chosen implication influenced the way they developed their digital outcome, and explains how it affected the final outcome.</p> <p>Clearly links to the digital components of the outcome, and gives specific examples.</p> <p>Clearly explains the new knowledge gained and how this was applied in practice through skills used within the development process.</p>	<p>Evaluates the role that problem-solving and their experience contributed to the successful development of their final digital outcome.</p> <p>Identifies and reflects on an aspect of the development process that could be done differently, and how this would enhance the quality or effectiveness of their outcome.</p>	<p>Thoroughly evaluates how their problem-solving skills and personal experiences contributed to the successful development of their final digital outcome.</p> <p>Clearly identifies and reflects on a genuine aspect of the development process that could be done differently, and how this would enhance the quality or effectiveness of their outcome.</p>

		Some aspects of the response may be partial or weak.	The outcome is sufficiently complex to require a development process.	Some aspects of the response may be partial or weak.	Analysis is well-considered and relevant to the outcome.	Some conclusions and critiques may be partial or weak.	Has an authentic project, and has clearly worked through the development process to create a high-quality digital outcome.
--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--

N0 = No response; no relevant evidence.