## Field Māori

## Review of Te Reo Māori Level 1 achievement and unit standards

#### **Unit standards**

Subfield	Domain	ID
General Education Māori	Kōrero Me Ngā Reo	18394, 18398

#### **Achievement standards**

Domain	ID	Subject reference
Whakarongo	90131	1.1
Kōrero	90132	1.2
Pānui	90133	1.3
Tuhituhi	90134	1.4

The Ministry of Education and NZQA Māori Qualifications Services have completed a review of the achievement and unit standards listed above.

New Registration date November 2010

Date new versions published November 2010

Planned review date December 2014

## Summary of review and consultation process

In 2008 the Ministry of Education (MoE) and NZQA began to review achievement and unit standards in light of the revised New Zealand Curriculum (NZC). This Alignment of Standards (AoS) review also addressed duplication of outcomes, credit parity, fairness, consistency, and coherence. The AoS review was guided by the revised NZC itself and the Standards Review Guidelines. A copy of the NZC is available at <a href="http://nzcurriculum.tki.org.nz/Curriculum-documents/The-New-Zealand-Curriculum.">http://nzcurriculum.tki.org.nz/Curriculum-documents/The-New-Zealand-Curriculum.</a>

Teacher subject associations were involved in the review and draft achievement standards were the focus of wide consultation, especially with secondary schools and teachers. Extensive resources, including student exemplars, were also developed to support these standards, and are available on the MoE and/or the NZQA websites.

The review of unit standards included consultation with tertiary providers to assess continued relevance and likely future use of the standards. Unit standards that duplicate achievement standard outcomes and those without the likelihood of future tertiary use were recommended for expiry.

National consultation was undertaken in 2009, with the results analysed by Research New Zealand. The responses were generally positive.

The review of unit and achievement standards at Level 1 was completed in time for implementation in schools in 2011. Standards at Levels 2 and 3 will be implemented in 2012 and 2013 respectively.

## Main changes resulting from the review

- All NZC level 6 (NZQF level 1) outcomes derived from the NZC are now assessed using achievement standards, and any unit standards are no longer linked to NZC.
- Existing achievement standards were reviewed and new achievement standards were developed to align with the NZC. See table below.
- Grading criteria for achievement standards were reviewed in accordance with the Standards Review Guidelines.
- Unit standards that recognised similar outcomes as achievement standards were recommended for expiry. See table below.

For a detailed description of the review of, and the changes to, the *Te Reo Māori* standards see appendix 1 at the end of this report.

## Impact on Accreditation and Moderation Action Plan (AMAP)

All new achievement standards have been registered on AMAP 0233.

## Impact of changes on NCEA Exclusions List

For transition purposes, the following exclusions will apply for new achievement standards.

New achievement standard	Existing achievement
91085	90131
91086	90132

## Review Categories and changes to classification, title, level, and credits

The following summary shows the changes made to the standards as a result of the review. All changes are in **bold**.

Ke	y to review category
Α	Dates changed, but no other changes are made - the new version of the standard carries the same ID and a new version number
В	Changes made, but the overall outcome remains the same - the new version of the standard carries the same ID and a new version number
С	Major changes that necessitate the registration of a replacement standard with a new ID
D	Standard will expire and not be replaced

Externally assessed achievement standards categorised as	December 2010
category D expire at the end of	

Internally assessed achievement standards and unit standards	December 2011
categorised as category C or D expire at the end of	

## Māori > General Education Māori > Kōrero Me Ngā Reo

ID	Title	Level	Credit	Review Category
18394	Explore the visual language features used in a static image to convey information in te reo Māori	1	4	D
18398	Use Māori communication skills to present information	1	4	D

Māori > Te Reo Māori > Whakarongo

	re ree maen - rmanarenge			
ID	Title	Level	Credit	Review Category
90131	Whakarongo i te reo o tona ao	1	6	С
91085	Whakarongo kia mōhio ki te reo o tōna ao	1	6	

# Māori > Te Reo Māori > Kōrero

ID	Title	Level	Credit	Review Category
90132	Kōrero i te reo o tōna ao	1	6	С
91086	Kōrero kia whakamahi i te reo o tōna ao	1	6	

# Māori > Te Reo Māori > Pānui

ID	Title	Level	Credit	Review Category
90133	Pānui i te reo o tōna ao	1	6	D
91087	Pānui kia mōhio ki te reo o tōna ao [Externally assessed]	1	6	New

# Māori > Te Reo Māori > Tuhituhi

ID	Title	Level	Credit	Review Category
90134	Tuhituhi i te reo o tōna ao	1	6	D
91088	Tuhi i te reo o tōna ao [Externally assessed]	1	6	New
91089	Waihanga tuhinga i te reo o tōna ao	1	6	New

# **Appendix**

# Development of Level 1 Te Reo Māori (TRM) Achievement Standards

## **Process of Aligning Standards with the New Zealand Curriculum**

In recommending changes to the TRM matrix and standards, consideration has been given to:

- successfully managing change as a result of curricula change
- teacher workload issues
- student workload issues
- teaching and learning support.

## Guidance has been provided from the Curriculum

- The New Zealand Curriculum, Learning Languages learning area, Te Aho Arataki Marau mō te Ako i Te Reo Māori – Kura Auraki (Curriculum Guidelines for Teaching and Learning Te Reo Māori in English-medium schools: Years 1-13).
- Essence statement, proficiency descriptors, language modes and associated skills, achievement objectives and key competencies.

#### **NCEA TRM matrix and standards**

- TRM matrix framework (tōna ao, te ao torotoro, te ao whānui).
- Standards titles, credit values, outcome statements, assessment criteria, explanatory notes.
- The Kōrero me ngā Reo unit standards included in the scope of this project.

#### TRM matrix

Level 1	' i te reo o tōna ao'	Language of/from the 'known' world
Level 2	' i te reo o te ao torotoro'	Language of/from an increasingly new world
Level 3	' i te reo o te ao whānui'	Language of/from the wider world

*Torotoro – to stretch forth, to explore, to discover.* 

The conceptual framework underpinning the draft TRM matrix encompasses the idea that as a language learner becomes more competent and confident in using the language, the contexts in which that learning occurs expands outwards from the familiar or 'known' world (tōna ao), to increasingly less familiar contexts (te ao torotoro) through to unfamiliar contexts (te ao whānui).

During the review of the standards there were issues around how the outcomes were expressed and further issues in relation to the lack of clarity between the differences in quality of performance required between the different grades. Focusing on how well students could apply skills and knowledge to gain and create meaning, rather than specifically on the skills and knowledge, has helped describe the grade distinctions. In this instance this means that whilst skills are an essential part of the language learning journey for any learner, when it comes to assessment, communication is the focus.

#### Standards' titles

The titles of the standards include, *tōna ao, te ao torotoro* and *te ao whānui*. Some amendments have been made to the standards' titles for *tuhituhi* (AS91088 and AS91089) and *kōrero* (AS91086) to better align with the outcome statements and achievement criteria.

## **Achievement Criteria**

Amendments have been made to the outcome statements to ensure they align with the standards' titles and matrix framework.

# **Achievement Objectives**

The achievement objectives (AOs) from *Te Aho Arataki Marau mō te Ako i Te Reo Māori – Kura Auraki* as well as the generic achievement objectives from the *Learning Languages* learning area were considered. The AOs from the *Learning Languages* learning area are broad and subsume the more specific ones from the curriculum guidelines. By aligning standards with the TRM AOs, the standards were indirectly aligned with the *Learning Languages* AOs.

The AOs from *Te Aho Arataki Marau mō te Ako i Te Reo Māori – Kura Auraki* are articulated quite differently to those in the *Marautanga Te Reo Māori* (*Marautanga*) from which the current set of TRM matrix and achievement standards derive. The matrix and standards reflect the structure of the *Marautanga* (which is made up of 6 strands) in which individual standards represent four of the six strands. Whilst the new curriculum guidelines have a very different structure to that of the *Marautanga*, the language modes (whakarongo, pānui, mātakitaki, kōrero, tuhituhi, whakaatu) still ensure the currency of the suite of achievement standards and the curriculum guidelines. For that reason it was agreed that the organisation of the current suite of achievement standards need not change.

A new internal writing standard has been developed at Level 1. The title of the standard *Waihanga tuhinga I te reo o tōna ao* has been incorporated into the titles of the internal tuhituhi standards at Levels 2 and 3. There are two reasons for this:

- to ensure consistency across all three NCEA levels
- to distinguish in an overt way, the difference between the internal standards and the external tuhituhi standards.

The Level 1 standards derive from achievement objectives at Level 6 of *Te Aho Arataki Marau mō te Ako i Te Reo Māori – Kura Auraki.* 

## **Addressing Duplication**

There were eight unit standards within the scope of this project, all from the field General Education Māori, domain *Ngā Kōrero me ngā Reo*. The unit standards have a visual language focus and are presented as four pairs; each standard in a pair have either a presenting focus, or a viewing focus. The standards progress through Levels 1 to 4 on the DAS. Only the standards at Levels 1, 2 and 3 were considered, as the Level 4 standards sit outside of NCEA in respect to the DAS.

It was agreed that the outcomes in the *whakaatu* (presenting) unit standards duplicated the outcomes in the  $k\bar{o}rero$  (speaking) achievement standards. The following factors were considered:

- what students were required to do to meet the outcomes in the unit standards
- what students were required to do to meet the outcomes in the achievement standards
- reviewing the current suite of common assessment tasks on TKI for kōrero and what students are required to do
- the degree of 'distinctiveness', if any, between student performance.

It was agreed that of the two sets of standards, the *kōrero* achievement standards be recommended to be retained and the *whakaatu* unit standards be recommended to be expired.

It is recognised that all ara reo as they appear in the curriculum guidelines are an integral part of TRM teaching and learning programmes. However, for *qualification purposes*, it is also recognised that the AOs need not be assessed through all of the ara reo.

Therefore, it is recommended that the mātakitaki standards be expired.

## **Addressing Credit Parity**

AS 90189 has been allocated 6 credits. It was agreed that this is a fair and accurate reflection of the time required for students to be adequately prepared to meet the outcomes in the standard.

# Level 1 TRM aligned standards – differences between post consultation version and latest drafts (2010)

The table in the report lists the titles, grade distinctions, mode of assessment and credit levels for the final versions of the Level 1 TRM draft aligned standards referred to in the table below. The differences between the versions can be summarised as follows:

	Post consultation version Oct 09	August 2010 version
Matrix framework	tōna ao mōhio	tōna ao
		A change in the wording of the title necessitated the dropping of 'mōhio' from the post consultation version. The change did not affect the overall meaning of 'familiar context', 'known world.'
Titles	Titles and outcomes for achievement did not match.	Titles and grade distinctions amended. Titles and outcomes for achievement are consistent.
Grade distinctions Paetae (A), Kaiaka (M), Kairangi (E)	Wording for some criteria expressed in quantitative terms.	Criteria expressed in qualitative terms.

Explanatory notes	Some of the desciptions expressed in quantitative terms.	Descriptions changed to align with changed outcomes and titles; expressed in qualitative terms.